Q1 \textit{To The Moon} \hfill (15 points)

ToTheMoon Bank has just created an online banking system. When a user wants to complete a transfer, they follow these steps:

1. The user logs in by making a POST request with their username and password.

2. The server sets a cookie with \texttt{name=auth\_user} and \texttt{value=$token}, where $token is a session token specific to the user’s login session.

3. The user initiates a transfer by making a GET request to \url{https://tothemoonbank.com/transfer?amount=$amount&to=$user}, replacing $amount and $user with the intended amount and recipient. Transfers use a parameterized SQL query.

4. The server runs the SQL query \texttt{SELECT username FROM users WHERE session\_token = 'token'}, replacing token with the value of the cookie. The server does not use parameterized SQL or any input sanitization.

Q1.1 (4 points) Which of the following attacks are possible in this system? Select all that apply.

\begin{itemize}
  \item [\textbullet] (A) SQL injection
  \item [\textsquare] (B) ROP attack
  \item [\textbullet] (C) CSRF attack
  \item [\textsquare] (D) Path traversal attack
  \item [\textbox{(E)}] None of the above
\end{itemize}

\textbf{Solution:} Of the answer options available, the only attacks that are indicated to exist are CSRF in step 3 (because the request does not include a CSRF token), and SQL injection in step 4 (because the server does not use parameterized SQL or input sanitization).

ROP is a memory safety attack, and path traversal attacks involve filesystems. These are not mentioned in the system, so they aren’t indicated to exist.
Q1.2 (4 points) Mallory is a malicious user with an account on ToTheMoon Bank. Mallory creates a malicious link https://tothemoonbank.com/transfer?amount=100&to=Mallory.

Which of the following scenarios would cause Alice to send $100 to Mallory? Select all that apply.

☐ (G) Alice clicks on the malicious link when Alice is not logged into the bank

☐ (H) Alice clicks on the malicious link when Alice is logged into the bank

☐ (I) Alice visits Mallory’s website, which has an img tag loading the malicious link, when Alice is not logged into the bank

☐ (J) Alice visits Mallory’s website, which has an img tag loading the malicious link, when Alice is logged into the bank

☐ (K) None of the above

☐ (L) ——

**Solution:** A CSRF attack would require the user to be logged in on the bank website. After this, any option that makes a GET request would transfer the money, so both an img tag and a link would cause this behavior.

Q1.3 (4 points) Suppose Step 4 is modified. To initiate a transfer, instead of making a GET request, the user makes a POST request to https://tothemoonbank.com/transfer with the amount and recipient in the POST body.

Which of the following scenarios would cause Alice to send $100 to Mallory? Select all that apply.

*Clarification during exam:* The malicious link in the answer choices should be https://tothemoonbank.com/transfer?amount=100&to=Mallory.

☐ (A) Alice clicks on the malicious link when Alice is not logged into the bank

☐ (B) Alice clicks on the malicious link when Alice is logged into the bank

☐ (C) Alice visits Mallory’s website, which has an img tag loading the malicious link, when Alice is not logged into the bank

☐ (D) Alice visits Mallory’s website, which has an img tag loading the malicious link, when Alice is logged into the bank

☐ (E) None of the above

☐ (F) ——

**Solution:** Neither the img tag nor the malicious link would cause a POST request to be sent, so none of these options would cause a transfer to take place.
Q1.4 (3 points) Which user inputs might be vulnerable to SQL injection? Select all that apply.

- (G) amount parameter
- (H) to parameter
- (I) Value of the auth_user cookie
- (J) None of the above
- (K) —
- (L) —

Solution: The question indicates that only the token query in step 4 is vulnerable to SQL injection, so only the value of the auth_user cookie would be vulnerable.
Q2 SQL Injection (20 points)
CS 161 students are using a modified version of Piazza to discuss project questions! In this version, the names and profile pictures of the students who answer questions frequently are listed on a side panel on the website.

The server stores a table of users with the following schema:

```sql
CREATE TABLE users (
    First TEXT, -- First name of the user.
    Last TEXT, -- Last name of the user.
    ProfilePicture TEXT, -- URL of the image.
    FrequentPoster BOOLEAN, -- Are they a frequent poster?
);
```

Q2.1 (3 points) Assume that you are a frequent poster. When playing around with your account, you notice that you can set your profile picture URL to the following, and your image on the frequent poster panel grows wider than everyone else’s photos:

ProfilePicture URL: https://cs161.org/evan.jpg" width="1000

Frequent posters

Evan Bot

Coda Bot

Pinto Bot

What kind of vulnerability might this indicate on Piazza’s website?

- (A) Stored XSS
- (B) Reflected XSS
- (C) CSRF
- (D) Path traversal attack
- (E) Buffer overflow
- (F) —

**Solution:** Because the user seems to be able to inject arbitrary HTML through the image URL, this might indicate a stored XSS vulnerability. The user can submit an profile picture URL that escapes the `img` tag of the image and injects a malicious script into future users who attempt to load the profile picture.
Q2.2 (3 points) Provide a malicious image URL that causes the JavaScript `alert(1)` to run for any browser that loads the frequent poster panel. Assume all relevant defenses are disabled.

_Hint: Recall that image tags are typically formatted as `<img src="image.png">._

**Solution:** The input would look something like the following:

```
""><script>alert(1)</script><img src="
```

So when injected into the image, this would render as:

```
<img src="image.png">><script>alert(1)</script><img src="">
```

We assume that all relevant defenses (e.g. content security policy) are disabled, so this script will run when the frequent poster panel is loaded.

Q2.3 (4 points) Suppose your account is not frequent poster, but you still want to conduct an attack through the frequent posters panel!

When a user creates an account on Piazza, the server runs the following code:

```go
query := fmt.Sprintf("INSERT INTO users (First, Last, ProfilePicture, FrequentPoster)
VALUES ('%s', '%s', '%s', FALSE);
", first, last, profilePicture)
db.Exec(query)
```

Provide an input for `profilePicture` that would cause your malicious script to run the next time a user loads the frequent posters panel. You may reference PAYLOAD as your malicious image URL from earlier, and you may include PAYLOAD as part of a larger input.

**Solution:** There’s a key insight here: your account isn’t a frequent poster, but you want it to show up in the frequent posters panel, so you need to set `FrequentPoster` to `TRUE` for that to happen! Because it’s hardcoded as `FALSE` in the current injection, we need to do something like the following:

```
PAYLOAD', TRUE) --
```

As a result, the following SQL will be executed:

```
INSERT INTO users (First, Last, ProfilePicture, FrequentPoster)
VALUES ('[some first name]', '[some last name]',
'PAYLOAD', TRUE) --', FALSE);
```
Q2.4 (4 points) Instead of injecting a malicious script, you want to conduct a DoS attack on Piazza! Provide an input for profilePicture that would cause the SQL statement DROP TABLE users to be executed by the server.

**Solution:** Similar to the previous problem, we’re going to construct a SQL injection attack. This time, we need to start a completely new statement, so we’ll use a semicolon to start the DROP TABLE users statement:

```
', FALSE); DROP TABLE users --
```

This results in the following SQL being executed:

```
INSERT INTO users (First, Last, ProfilePicture, FrequentPoster)
    VALUES ('[some first name]', '[some last name]', '', FALSE); DROP TABLE users --
```

Suppose that session cookies are used to authenticate to Piazza. This token is checked whenever the user sends a request to Piazza.

*Clarification during exam:* “Your malicious script” refers to your exploit in 7.2.

Q2.5 (3 points) Your malicious script submits a GET request to the Piazza website that marks “helpful!” on one of your comments. Does the same-origin policy defend against this attack?

- (A) Yes, because the same-origin policy prevents the script from making the request
- (B) Yes, because the script runs with the origin of the attacker’s website
- (C) No, because the same-origin policy does not block any requests from being made
- (D) No, because the script runs with the origin of Piazza’s website
- (E) —
- (F) —

**Solution:** The best answer here is that the SOP (in the context of how we teach it in this class) doesn’t block any requests from being made – so if a request is being made from the Piazza homepage that makes a change on Piazza’s webpage, then SOP doesn’t block that request from occurring.

It is true that the script runs with the origin of Piazza’s website, but even if it ran from the origin of a different website, SOP (again, in the context of how we teach it in class) wouldn’t block the request from being made. So the third answer choice is the best answer here.
Q2.6 (3 points) Your malicious script submits a GET request to the Piazza website that marks “helpful!” on one of your comments. Does enabling CSRF tokens defend against this attack?

- (G) Yes, because the attacker does not know the value of the CSRF token
- (H) Yes, because the script runs with the origin of the attacker’s website
- (I) No, because GET requests do not change the state of the server
- (J) No, because the script runs with the origin of Piazza’s website

Solution: Since the script runs in the origin of the Piazza website, the script can leak the value of the CSRF token presumably embedded in the HTML and make a GET request with a legitimate CSRF token.

GET requests can change the state of the server; it’s only convention that they usually don’t do this.

We don’t usually talk about how CSRF tokens work with GET requests in this class, but we do give you enough information to reason this one out!
Hackerman Visits the Voting Booth

Your sketchy friend Jared asks you to use your CS 161 skills to help him rig some sort of election. He hands you a business card with credentials for a Russian supercomputer.

Armed with massive computing power, you show up to the Caltopia polling center. It has a Wi-Fi network secured with standard WPA2-PSK.

Q3.1 (5 points) You observe a WPA 4-way handshake. Which values from the handshake are needed to perform a brute-force search for the Wi-Fi password? Select all that apply.

- (A) ANonce
- (B) SNonce
- (C) The router’s MAC address
- (D) The client’s MAC address
- (E) The MICs
- (F) None of the above

Solution: In the WPA2 4-way handshake, the information dependency goes \{SSID, password\} → PSK + \{ANonce, SNonce, Router MAC, Client MAC\} → PTK → MIC, which is public and unencrypted. Given all of these except for the password, we can upload the information to our powerful computer and brute force to our heart’s content.

Q3.2 (4 points) What can you do after successfully brute-forcing the Wi-Fi password? Select all that apply.

- (G) Perform on-path network attacks against victims in the same Wi-Fi network
- (H) Decrypt network traffic encrypted with the PTK of a user who joins the network after you
- (I) Decrypt network traffic encrypted with the GTK
- (J) Decrypt TLS network traffic
- (K) None of the above

Solution: Need to word these choices carefully, other attacks could be used once you’re on-path to do things like MITM attacks. I think these are unambiguous now though.

You are on the local network, so any on-path attack is fair game.

Additionally, the unencrypted information sent during the 4-way handshake combined with the network’s password allow you to compute a user’s PTK and the group GTK, which lets you decrypt any traffic encrypted with WPA2 keys.

TLS is end-to-end secure, so being an on-path attacker in the local network won’t help you decrypt TLS traffic.
Q3.3 (3 points) Which defenses would stop your attack? Select all that apply.

- (A) Changing the Wi-Fi password every day
- (B) Using WPA2-Enterprise
- (C) A modern NIDS system
- (D) None of the above

Solution: Changing the password each day is a poor solution to a low-entropy password.
A NIDS system operates on higher layers than required to detect or stop these attacks.
A high-entropy password resists brute-forcing, and without network access, the other attacks aren’t possible.
Enterprise WPA2 doesn’t let any user without credentials obtain keys, and each user has their own key.

You arrive at the New Blackwell City polling center. It also has a Wi-Fi network secured with standard WPA2-PSK.

You walk up to a poll worker, claim that you’re a fellow poll worker, and ask for the Wi-Fi password. They write the password on a post-it note and give it to you.

Q3.4 (3 points) Which security principle is most closely related to your experience at this polling place?

- (G) Consider Shannon’s maxim
- (H) Least privilege
- (I) Security is economics
- (J) Consider human factors
- (K) Defense in depth
- (L) Time of check to time of use

Solution: Polling places are temporary employers which employ many people. An overworked, underpaid employee who already has the WiFi password written down and doesn’t have mastery of low-level network attacks is unlikely to be a good defense against a convincing imposter.

At the Campanile City polling center, you see a DHCP Discover message broadcast to everyone.

Assume your computer has IP address , and the network’s router and DHCP server have IP address . Assume that there are no other machines on the network. Assume there are no reserved or private IP addresses.

You want to return a malicious DHCP Offer that would make you a MITM. What values of the assigned IP address and the gateway IP address could you use in your response?
Q3.5  (3 points) Assigned IP address:

*Enter your answer in the text box on Exam Tool.*

☐ (A) —— ☐ (B) —— ☐ (C) —— ☐ (D) —— ☐ (E) —— ☐ (F) ——

**Solution:** Any IP address not already in use works here. Since there are no other machines on the network, any IP except and is correct.

Q3.6  (3 points) Gateway IP address:

*Enter your answer in the text box on Exam Tool.*

**Solution:** You should make your own computer the gateway, so that the victim sends any outgoing messages to you first. The only correct answer is (your IP address).